REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests entry of the following remarks and [0006]

reconsideration of the subject application. Applicant respectfully requests entry of the

amendments herein. The remarks and amendments should be entered under 37 CFR. §

1.116 as they place the application in better form for appeal, or for resolution on the

merits.

[0007] Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all of the

claims of the application. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, 16-28 and 30-36 are presently pending.

Claims 14, 17, 18, and 23 are amended herein. No claims are withdrawn or cancelled

herein. No new claims are added herein.

Formal Request for an Interview

[0008] If the Examiner's reply to this communication is anything other than

allowance of all pending claims, then I formally request an interview with the Examiner.

I encourage the Examiner to call me—the undersigned representative for the Applicant—

so that we can discuss this matter so as to resolve any outstanding issues quickly and

efficiently over the phone.

[0009] Please contact me to schedule a date and time for a telephone interview that

is most convenient for both of us. While email works great for me, I welcome your call

as well. My contact information may be found on the last page of this response.

Serial No.: 10/659,568

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1524US

Atty/Agent: Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas

Allowable Subject Matter

[0010] Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for allowing claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-

13, 33 and 34. These claims have not been amended herein, and therefore remain

allowable.

Claim Amendments

[0011] Without conceding the propriety of the rejections herein and in the interest of

expediting prosecution, Applicant amends claims 14, 17, 18, and 23 herein. Applicant

amends claims to clarify claimed features and to expedite prosecution. Such amendments

are merely intended to highlight the claimed features, and should not be construed as

further limiting the claimed invention in response to the cited references.

Substantive Matters

Claim Rejections under § 101

[0012] Claims 23-28, 30-32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Applicant

respectfully traverses this rejection. Furthermore, in light of the amendments presented

herein, Applicant respectfully submits that these claims comply with the patentability

requirements of §101 and that the §101 rejections should be withdrawn.

[0013] Independent claim 23 is directed to "computer-readable" media, which has

been recognized as belonging to a statutory class as a "Beauregard claim" for quite some

time as a product claim, see MPEP 2105.01, I. The rejection appears to be based on an

interpretation that the claim could cover a signal, which has been deemed non-statutory

as not included in one of the four statutory classes, In re Nuijten, 500 F.3d 1346 (Fed.

Serial No.: 10/659,568 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1524US

Atty/Agent: Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas

100**6** 13905 The Susiness of 47 ¹⁸

Cir., 2007). Without conceding the propriety of the rejection, Applicant respectfully

submits that claim 23, when considered in its entirety and as amended is directed to a

statutory product and complies with the strictures of 35 U.S.C. § 101.

[0014] If the Examiner maintains the rejection of claims 23-28, 30-32 and 36, then

Applicant requests additional guidance as to what is necessary to overcome the rejection.

Claim Rejections under § 103

[0015] Claims 14, 16-28, 30-32, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103. In

light of the amendments presented herein and the discussion during the above-discussed

Examiner interview, Applicant submits that these rejections are moot. Accordingly,

Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

Claims

[0016] Applicant respectfully submits that each of the pending claims, 1-4, 6-9, 11-

14, 16-28 and 30-36, are in condition for allowance. Claims 1, 14, and 23 being

independent claims. In addition to its own merits, each claim depending from one of

claims 1, 14, and 23 is allowable over the cited references for at least the same reasons

that its base claim is allowable. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner

withdraw the rejection of each dependent claim where its base claim is allowable, and

earnestly solicits issuance of the pending application.

Serial No.: 10/659,568

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1524US

Atty/Agent: Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas

WEST The Susiness of 17 to

Conclusion

All pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully [0017]

requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. If any issues remain

that prevent issuance of this application, the **Examiner is urged to contact me before**

issuing a subsequent Action. Please call or email me at your convenience.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lee & Hayes, PLLC

Representatives for Applicant

/Bea Koempel-Thomas 58213/ Dated: 12/04/2008

Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas (<u>bea@leehayes.com</u>; 509.944.4759)

Registration No. 58213

Assistant: Cherri Simon (cherri@leehayes.com; 509.944.4776)

Customer No. 22801

Telephone: (509) 324-9256

Facsimile: (509) 323-8979

www.leehayes.com

Serial No.: 10/659,568 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1524US

Atty/Agent: Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas

